1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice		
2			
3			
4			
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2069	
12	WALDO ELIAS MERY	ACCUSATION	
13	2105 Coddine Drive Modesto CA 95350		
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5451		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,		
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about June 28, 1985, the Respiratory Care Board issued respiratory		
23	care practitioner license Number 5451 to Waldo Elias Mery (Respondent). The respiratory care		
24	practitioner license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein		
25	and will expire on March 31, 2008, unless renewed.		
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board),		
28	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section		

references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500).

7. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the

accusation, information, or indictment."

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act.
- "(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

10. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 11. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Conviction)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions code sections 3750(d) and (g), 3752 [substantially related conviction], and CCR 1399.370(c).

The circumstances are as follows:

- 13. On or about August 17, 2006, Ripon Police Officer Robert Winget observed a driver (later identified as respondent by his driver's license) traveling in excess of 85 miles per hour, which was above the posted speed limit. Officer Winget activated his emergency lights to make a traffic stop, but the respondent continued to accelerate in excess of 90 miles per hour. Officer Winget was in a clearly marked police unit, sounded his siren and had all emergency lights activated. Respondent drove in excess of 100 miles per hour and was tracked by radar at 102 miles per hour. Officer Winget was not catching up to respondent's vehicle and after pacing him for over 2.5 miles, he called for back-up. At that point, respondent slowed his vehicle's speed and stopped on the shoulder of the road, about 3.1 miles from the initial point at which Officer Winget began his pursuit.
- Α. Officer Winget approached respondent's vehicle and asked him to turn off the engine and exit the vehicle. At first, respondent refused and stated that he was going to the emergency room at the hospital to see his daughter. When Officer Winget asked which hospital and inquired as to what was the emergency, respondent told him that it was none of his business. He refused to exit the vehicle when asked a second time. Officer Winget said that if respondent did not get out of the car, the Officer would use force to get him out. Respondent then got out of his car. Officer Winget noticed that respondent's speech was slurred and he appeared to be intoxicated. Respondent refused to reply when asked if he had been drinking, refused to perform field sobriety tests, and refused the preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test. He also refused to respond verbally to the Miranda warnings.
- Officer Winget arrested respondent for violating Vehicle Code section В. 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol, and he was taken to San Joaquin County Hospital for a blood test. The sample was drawn at 7:44 p.m., and the evidence was retained.

limit on the freeway by 30 miles per hour].

- 14. On August 31, 2006, a criminal complaint was filed. On December 12, 2006, an Amended Complaint in *People of the State of California vs. Waldo Elias Mery*, case no. MM113269A was filed in Superior Court, San Joaquin County. Count 1 charged respondent with a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol], Count 2 charged a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol level above .08%], Count 3 charged a violation of Vehicle Code section 2800.1 [evading the police in a marked unit], Count 4 charged a violation of Vehicle Code section 23103, [reckless driving], Allegation 1 was added: a violation of Vehicle Code section 23582 [exceeding maximum speed limit on the freeway by 30 miles per hour], Count 5 charged a violation of Vehicle Code section 22350 [unsafe speed for prevailing conditions].
- 15. On January 10, 2007, respondent entered a plea of guilty to counts 2 and 3. The Court accepted the plea, and the District Attorney dismissed the other charges in the interests of justice. The Judge granted a conditional sentence for three years as to counts 2 and 3. Respondent was ordered to participate in a treatment program approved by the probation officer and to report for said program within 21 days. His blood alcohol content was noted to be .13% at the time of arrest. He was ordered not to drink and drive with any measurable amount of alcohol or drugs in his blood, submit to testing, pay fines totalling \$2,323.00, serve 10 days in jail with credit for 2 days served, and alternate work program was approved.
- 16. Therefore, respondent's license is subject to discipline based on his conviction of violations of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol level above .08%] and Vehicle Code section 2800.1 [evading the police in a marked unit].

28 ///

///

1	MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION		
2	17. In a stipulated decision in RCB Case No. R-1149 effective November 24		
3	1997, respondent was issued a respiratory care practitioner license and was publicly reprimande		
4	for his August 25, 1994 conviction for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under		
5	the influence of alcohol].		
6	<u>PRAYER</u>		
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
8	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
9	1. Revoking or suspending respiratory care practitioner license Number		
10	5451, issued to Waldo Elias Mery.		
11	2. Ordering Waldo Elias Mery to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs of		
12	the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation		
13	monitoring;		
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
15	DATED: April 5, 2007		
16			
17	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:		
18	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer		
19	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs		
20	State of California Complainant		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			